



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 20

GERALD F SWISS
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS
P.O. BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

COPY MAILED

DEC 3 1 2001

In re Application of
Jim A. Wright et al
Application No. 08/886,313
Filed: June 30, 1997
Attorney Docket No. 21892-501

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 5, 2001, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice to Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) mailed March 28, 2001, which set a period for reply of one (1) month or thirty (30) days (whichever is later) from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on April 29, 2001.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. If the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.


An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$980.00 extension of time submitted with the petition on December 5, 2001 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Wan Laymon at (703) 305-9282.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1635.


Wan Laymon

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

cc: MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS
GLOVSKY & POPEO
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON, MA 02111

HP LaserJet 3100
Printer/Fax/Copier/Scanner

SEND CONFIRMATION REPORT for
Nath & Associates PLLC
202 822 9409
Mar-18-04 11:36

Job	Start Time	Usage	Phone Number or ID	Type	Pages	Mode	Status
403	3/18 11:34....	1'24"	USPTO	Send.....	3/ 3	EC144	Completed.....

Total 1'24" Pages Sent: 3 Pages Printed: 0

Gary M. Nath, esq. as
Todd L. Janssen, esq. as
Rosa A. Spatola, esq.
Marvin C. Berkowitz, esq.
Robert C. Ryan, esq.

Harold L. Horvick, esq. as

Trish A. Leland, esq., Patent
Donald M. Smalley, esq., Patent
Patent, Trademark and Copyright Counsel
United Corporation, Trade Secrets,
Litigation and Arbitration
** 403/04

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys at Law
1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Sixth Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503

TELEPHONE (202) 773-4343
FACSIMILE (202) 773-4393
FACSIMILE (202) 773-4394
FACSIMILE (202) 773-4395

EMAIL: FNATH@NATH.LAW.COM
WEB: WWW.NATH.LAW.COM

March 18, 2004

Michelle L. Hartman
Lee C. Helman, esq.
Joseph L. Meyer, esq.
Tanya E. Hartman, esq.
Joshua B. Goldberg, esq.
Sheldon M. McCos, esq.
David Richmond, esq.
Abba S. Tannenholz, esq.
Angela Y. Dai, esq.

*Practiced limited to Matters and Proceedings
before Federal Courts and Agencies, not
Admitted to DC

** Registered Patent Agents not Admitted to DC

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

FACSIMILE NO.: 571-273-0760

TO: Examiner John Leguyder
USPTO Group Art Unit 1635

FROM: Lee C. Helman

RE: 08/886,313

NO. OF PAGES (including this page): 3

COMMENTS AND/OR SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

Further to our telephone conversations regarding the captioned matter, attached is a copy of the Decision on Petition to Revoke, with a mail date of December 31, 2001.

Please feel free to call us if you have any questions or comments, or require anything further.

SHOULD ANY DIFFICULTIES BE INCURRED WITH THE RECEIPT OF THIS
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IN WHOLE OR IN PART), PLEASE CONTACT THE
SENDER AT THE ABOVE TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR RETRANSMISSION..

The information contained in this facsimile communication is
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. Any dissemination, distribution or
copying of this communication or any portion of it by anyone other than the intended recipient or the employee or
agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, is strictly prohibited. If this communication is received in
error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the
U.S. Postal Service.